

GEOFFREY A. HANSEN  
Federal Public Defender  
SHAWN HALBERT  
Assistant Federal Public Defender  
450 Golden Gate Avenue  
San Francisco, CA 94102  
Telephone: (415) 436-7700

Counsel for Defendant Jose Escalera-Aguilera aka Pablo Perez-Anguiano

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	)	No. CR-12-0090 RS
	)	CR-12-0156 RS
Plaintiff,	)	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]
	)	ORDER CONTINUING STATUS
v.	)	DATE FROM AUGUST 28, 2012 TO
	)	OCTOBER 9, 2012 AND EXCLUDING
JOSE ESCALERA-AGUILERA aka PABLO	)	ADDITIONAL TIME FROM AUGUST
PEREZ-ANGUIANO,	)	28, 2012 TO OCTOBER 9, 2012
	)	
Defendant.	)	

**STIPULATION**

The Court previously referred defendant for a competency hearing. With the stipulation of the parties, U.S. Pretrial Services has selected an evaluator who will evaluate defendant by mid-September. The parties thus propose that the matter be continued to October 9, 2012. The parties also agree that the time between August 28, 2012 and October 9, 2012 should be excluded under the Speedy Trial Act in order to allow the competency examination to proceed and for effective preparation of counsel, 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(1)(A) and (h)(7)(A) and (h)(7)(B)(iv), and the ends of justice served by granting such a continuance outweigh the best interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial.

1 SO STIPULATED.  
2

3 Dated: 8/27/12

MELINDA HAAG  
United States Attorney

4  
5  
6 /s/  
J. MARK KANG  
Special Assistant United States Attorney  
7

8 Dated: 8/27/12  
9

/s/  
SHAWN HALBERT  
Attorney for Defendant  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26

**ORDER**

For the reasons stated above, the next status date shall be continued from August 28, 2012 to October 9, 2012 at 2:30 p.m.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the time between August 28, 2012 and October 9, 2012 should be excluded under the Speedy Trial Act in order to allow the competency examination to proceed and for effective preparation of counsel, 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(1)(A) and (h)(7)(A) and (h)(7)(B)(iv), and the ends of justice served by granting such a continuance outweigh the best interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial.

SO ORDERED.

DATED: 8/27/12



THE HONORABLE RICHARD SEEBORG  
United States District Judge